# **Development of a Bisulfite-free DNA methylation marker**based diagnostic test for cervical cancer diagnostics

Bettina Stieber<sup>1</sup>, Carolin Hoyer<sup>1</sup>, Alexa Coopersmith<sup>1</sup>, Martina Schmitz<sup>1</sup>, Alfred Hansel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>oncgnostics GmbH, Jena, Germany

# **Objectives**

Currently, cervical cancer screening is done by HPV-testing and cytology-based diagnostic measures (Pap test). Clarification of abnormal findings is important to limit invasive diagnostics, overtreatment and watchful waiting periods. ScreenYu Gyn® is a reliable CE-IVD test based on the detection of DNA methylation in one single marker (ZNF671). In a new development, we aim to avoid the tedious DNA bisulfite treatment, by using methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes (MSREs) to discriminate methylated from non-methylated DNA. Based on this technique, an MSRE qPCR assay was developed for the analysis of DNA methylation in the marker region ZNF671 and a control marker region, ACTB.

### Methods

For this study, cervical smears from 204 women with the cytology findings NILM (n=100), CIN I (n=17), CIN II (n=66) and CxCa (n=4) were selected and tested with the developed MSRE qPCR assay (workflow is shown in Figure 1.). For comparison, ScreenYu Gyn® test results were available for all samples.



Digestion of unmethylated DNA

**Figure 1:** Workflow of the MSRE qPCR-based assay.

## Results

All 204 cervical smears were tested in the ScreenYu Gyn<sup>®</sup> test and the developed MSRE qPCR-based assay. The sensitivity of both assays for CIN3+ were comparable (63% and 64%), whereas the specificity was higher for the MSRE qPCR assay (88%) compared to the ScreenYu Gyn® test (81%). The detection rate on NILM samples was lower (4%) in the MSRE assay than in the ScreenYu Gyn test (10%). On the other hand, the ScreenYu Gyn test showed higher validity for the tested samples (99%) compared to 95% in the MSRE qPCR-based assay).

**Table 1:** Performance indicators including sensitivity, specificity, false positive rates and validity, based on the 204 cervical smear samples and shown for the MSRE qPCR-based assay and ScreenYu Gyn<sup>®</sup> test.

Sensitivity	Specificity	False positive	Validity
(CIN III+)	(CIN II-)	rate (NILM)	



#### Detection by MSRE-Assay and ScreenYu Gyn<sup>®</sup>

MSRE	64%	88%	4%	95%
ScreenYu Gyn®	63%	81%	10%	99%

ScreenYu Gyn<sup>®</sup> (n=202) MSRE-Assay (n=194)

**Figure 2:** Detection rates [%] of the 204 cervical smears with different cytological findings. Illustrated for the MSRE qPCR-based assay and ScreenYu Gyn<sup>®</sup> test.

## Conclusion

First tests using the developed MSRE qPCR-based assay showed promising results, emphasizing its potential as an alternative method for the detection of DNA methylation. In contrast to established assays based on DNA bisulfite conversion, MSRE-based methylation assays provide the opportunity for further developments such as fully automated tests for high throughput in diagnostic laboratories or Point of Care tests.

det

